Fund performance – the cost

If you’ve an ISA or pension, it’s likely the money’s invested in an ‘active’ fund. ‘Passive’ funds are the alternative and are usually cheaper. Confused? Ray Prince unravels the jargon

T here is so much terminology used to describe the charges levied by either type of fund that it is easy to be confused. So, what is the difference between the annual management charge and the total expense ratio? And does the total expense ratio actually describe the financial costs applicable to the fund?

What are the options?

**Active Fund Management:**

The goal of an actively managed fund is to ‘beat’ the market (or a specific market index). An active fund manager uses research and market forecasts to select securities that the manager feels will increase in value over time. When the value of the investment is, in the manager’s opinion, at its peak, the manager sells the security.

**Passive Asset Class Investing:**

A passively managed fund seeks to match the investment performance of a specific target index or asset class. The passive fund manager does not actively buy and sell securities in an effort to beat the market. Rather, the manager simply holds all, or a representative sample, of the securities in the index or asset class.

What are the charges?

Let us start with the terminology. The annual management charge is the cost levied by the fund manager for running the fund. This ranges from 0.1 per cent in the case of some passive funds/trackers to 1.75 per cent or more for certain active funds. Funds also incur ongoing costs such as custodian, accounting and legal fees. The total expense ratio (TER) is the sum of both sets of charges. However, this is by no means the end of the story.

The TER takes no account of the cost of implementing transactions within the fund. These depend on the level of turnover of stocks within the fund and total costs incurred for each sale and acquisition. In the Financial Services Authority’s (FSA) official paper on the cost of retail investments (see link below), the average cost of a deal in a UK fund has been estimated at 180 basis points (1.8 per cent per year to you and me).

Portfolio turnover rates (PTR) describe the proportion of the fund that has been turned over due to sales and purchases in each accounting year. They are calculated according to a formula prescribed by the FSA. The greater the number of sales and acquisitions, the higher the PTR. Busy funds cost more but supposedly this is because they are meant to deliver greater returns. I will consider this further with you in a future article.

It is now a requirement for PRIIs to be published for UK Unit Trusts and Open Ended Investment Companies (OEIC) within a document known as the simplified prospectus. This is available upon request from the company your money is invested with. You still have to hunt around for these figures as they are often quoted separately from other cost data. The key is that the PTR adds cost to the ongoing management of any type of fund and it is imperative that you know what costs apply to your own funds.

**Actual cost comparisons**

Let us now turn to the question of actual cost comparisons between active and passive funds. If we take the UK all companies sector as an example, most actively managed funds have an Annual Management Charge (AMC) of 1.5 per cent. They also have other expenses declared of typically another 0.1 per cent to 0.2 per cent a year. On average, the TER amounts to around 1.6 per cent a year.

Compare this with, say, Fidelity Moneybuilder UK index tracker, which has an AMC of 0.1 per cent and a total TER of 0.28 per cent. Therefore, before even considering portfolio turnover costs, the average actively managed fund has to deliver a further 1.3 per cent or so each year, without taking any more risk than the index, in order to simply match a tracker. You then have to ask yourself: Is it worth paying extra to simply keep up with the index?

**Portfolio turnover rate**

Moving to the effect of portfolio turnover, if you take the average PTR of an active UK fund of 70 per cent to 90 per cent (page 27 of the FSA paper), you end up with costs in addition to the TER of between 1.26 per cent and 1.62 per cent. Some funds incur substantially greater costs than this. For example, the Fidelity Special Situations fund has a PTR of 157 per cent, leading to an overall portfolio turnover cost of 2.46 per cent. Quite a few active funds have PTRs of over 200 per cent, leading to turnover costs of 3.56 per cent in addition to the TER.

Contrast this with a typical tracker. The Foreign and Colonial FTSE All Share Index tracker has a PTR of 0 per cent. Others have PTRs of between 10 per cent and 20 per cent. To get the total annual cost, you have to add the PTR cost to the TER. This means that the actual annual cost of the average active UK fund amounts to between 2.86 per cent and 5.22 per cent.

In the case of Fidelity Special Situations, you get total annual fund costs of 3.96 per cent. In contrast the F&C FTSE All Share Index tracker has combined costs of 0.39 per cent. This means the average active fund has to outperform trackers by up to 2.94 per cent without taking any more risk.

Quite apart from wondering whether active funds can recover the extra costs and consistently achieve returns well in excess of them, you have to ask just how much cost an equity-based investment can put up with before the prospective returns on reasonable assumptions are reduced to a level no better than cash (similar to what you would earn in a savings account with your bank or building society).

If this occurs, you will have incurred all the risk associated with equity-based investments but attracted none of the gains.

For the effect of portfolio turnover, ‘passive’ funds are the alternative. But remember, equities move and diversification is key to the success of trackers. You then have to ask: Is it worth paying extra to simply keep up with the index?

**How To Avoid The Three Most Common Retirement Planning Mistakes**

Ray Prince is a fee-based Impartial Financial Planner with Rutherford Wilkinson plc and helps dentists plan towards their ideal retirement, as well as getting the best deals on mortgages, protection and investments. Call him on 0191 217 5540 or email ray.prince@rwplc.co.uk. To request Rutherford Wilkinson’s free CD, ‘How To Avoid The Three Most Common Retirement Planning Mistakes’, just call Catherine Lowes on 0191 217 5540 (quoting ref: DT).
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